



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,643	04/10/2001		Isaiah Moore JR.	IM-1	7659
75	90	08/21/2003			
Michael I. Kroll				EXAMINER	
171 Stillwell Lane				ARYANPOUR, MITRA	
Syosset, NY 1	1791				,
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3711	
				DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	
					. 16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/829,643	MOORE, ISAIAH					
navioury nous.	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mitra Aryanpour	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandonent which are the control of the contro	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension					
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail SFR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ling date of the final rejection, even if					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF) 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · - · · ·						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	as stated in the F	sinal reseations					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		•					
10. DO Other: <u>See</u> offached up.	Out	RUIII					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/829,643

Art Unit: 3711

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Claim 1 raises new issues in this claim, since the newly added limitations had not been claimed in combination, therefore, additional search and consideration is required. Moreover, such an amendmended claim would not be properly appealable. The newly submitted claim provides an instructional table describing the various arm movements for releasing various standard pitches. Such is known in the art. Randall ('800) provides instructions for pitching a straight pitch and a curve pitch; Cinnella ('813) provides instructions for pitching maininly a curveball, but also describes pitches such as fastball and flat curve; Battersby teaches a method of modifying the release points of pitches from a video pitching machine; both Katayama and Golubov show methods for teaching body motion in sports including baseball; and Franklin provides an instrutional booklet for pitching forkball, slider and fastball.